## Exhibit AG

Page 135

Page 136

1 could -- could produce all the PLM slides he did in 2 litigation since 2016 or whenever he started.

Q. Well, so it sounds like with respect

4 to -- it sounds like you're aware that there was a

5 request made for your PLM slides in the Valadez case?

6 A. Yes, I'm aware of it. I went to go

7 look for them after I got around to it, and what I

8 didn't do is tell anybody here to make sure to keep

9 them --

10 Q. All right.

11 A. -- because I get sidetracked pretty

12 easily at times.

13 Q. And do you recall when you went around

14 to look for them?

15 A. I think it was about two weeks

16 afterwards, something like that.

17 Q. And when you went to look for them

18 they were -- they had been destroyed?

19 A. They weren't there.

20 Q. Okay. So they weren't -- it wasn't

21 that they were degraded. It's that they had been

22 destroyed.

1 crystallizing.

A. They don't last. I mean, you can't go

24 back a week or two weeks afterwards and look -- be

25 able to find the same structures because it starts

Page 134

2 Q. Right, but --

A. This is not like TEM grids where you

4 have to go through a whole thing and you can pull

5 them out and -- which we've done. PLM -- you can't

6 ship a PLM slide, and you can always make it again.

Q. Okay. But I just want to make sure

8 we're clear because I think first you testified that

9 when you went to look for them you couldn't find

10 them. Is that --

11 A. If they're not there, it's hard to

12 find them.

13 Q. Right. Okay.

14 A. So they're not here. And they were --

15 they were just -- they were thrown out.

16 Q. Okay. And do you have any idea when

17 they were thrown out?

18 A. I don't know within the date -- when

19 it was actually thrown out or not. It was thrown

20 out, but I don't know the date.

Q. Okay. We may look at a few things on

22 this, but I think you just said -- well, I guess let

23 me just ask. What is your view on how long it takes

24 PLM slides to degrade?

A. Well, they start crystallizing and the

Page 133 1 oil starts to evaporate, so it's usually, you know,

2 one to two weeks. Sometimes, you know, if I've

3 looked at the report and stuff or it's out, there's

4 no need to keep it. You know, to go back and find

5 that exact one bundle, sometimes it would be tough.

6 I don't think anybody keeps them.

Q. Right. And is it your view that -- so

8 after one to two weeks if the oil evaporates the

9 slides are unusable?

10 A. I don't know if they're absolutely

11 unusable, but to go back to the same structures, it

12 may not be possible. So it's just -- nobody keeps

13 them.

14 Q. Have you ever tried to analyze or

15 relook at a PLM slide two weeks after it was prepared

16 to see whether you can see anything?

17 A. No. I'm not going to take the time to

18 go and start doing an experiment because somebody --

19 they wanted to come here and analyze a sample.

I'd be perfectly happy to have, you

21 know, Dr. Sanchez -- well, his PLM person come here,

22 watch us make the sample. We can go and look for it

23 and say here's the structure, go ahead and look at

24 it. What is the difference? Probably better that

25 **way.** 

Q. Okay. But not Dr. Sanchez? His PLM

2 person?

3 A. He doesn't -- he never analyzes them.

4 You know, and he accused me of committing a felony,

5 so I have a problem with him coming in my lab, you

6 know, that I'm lying under oath all the time.

7 He would come here, but he's going to

8 have somebody else do the PLM analysis. I think we

9 offered that as a compromise, but that's what, you

10 know -- I would just say Dr. Sanchez can go ahead and

11 write the report that we misidentified it. He can do

12 that. He doesn't have to come here and analyze it.

Q. Are you aware of any peer-reviewed

14 literature that states that PLM --

15 A. I can't hear you.

Q. Sorry. I've got this new microphone

17 that's been causing all sorts of problems. Are you

18 -- I like yours a lot better.

19 Are you aware of any peer-reviewed

20 literature that states that PLM slides degrade after

21 one to two weeks?

22 A. You know, it's hard for me to chase

23 down every time somebody comes up with something that

24 they want to know or there's some literature. I

25 don't know.

16

_			
1	A. The slides were gone. And by that	1	Page 151  Powder or Gold Bond talcum powders that may have been
2	time probably I don't know I just don't recall	2	purchased from Kroger as opposed to another store?
3	ever telling Mr. Satterley that.	3	A. That's correct, I have not.
4	Q. Okay. Okay. That's fine. So all you	4	MR. SMITH: Okay. I think that's all
5	recall telling him is that the slides were gone.	5	I have. Thank you.
6	A. They were not they were not kept.	6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7	Q. And you don't recall when you would	7	MR. VIVES: All right. No further
8	have told him that. Presumably, it was after that	8	questions for me either, Dr. Longo.
	March 15th email we looked at?	9	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
10	A. Yeah, they I did not they were	10	MR. KELLEY: Any other questions?
	not here.	11	MS. BROWNING: None from me.
12	MR. VIVES: Okay. All right. Let me	12	
	just take two minutes and just see if I have anything	13	MR. KELLEY: All right. I think we
	else. I think that may be it for me.		can close the record.
15	THE WITNESS: Okay. Is anybody else	15	THE MODERATOR: We are off the record
			at 4:03 p.m.
17	going to ask questions?  MR. SMITH: I'll be very brief.	17	αι τ.05 μ.π.
	,		(DEDOSITION CONCLUDED AT 4.02 P.M.)
	Should I go during the two minutes while you look at	18	(DEPOSITION CONCLUDED AT 4:03 P.M.)  * * *
	your notes, Michael?	19	The second secon
20	MR. VIVES: Sure. Go ahead.	20	
21	MR. SMITH: At least I think I'll be	21	
	very brief.	22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
1	Page 150 EXAMINATION	1	Page 152 STATE OF KENTUCKY )(
2		2	)( SS: COUNTY OF JEFFERSON )(
3	BY MR. SMITH:	3	
4	Q. Dr. Longo, my name is Travis Smith.	4	I, ELLEN L. COULTER, Notary Public, State of Kentucky at Large, hereby certify that the
5	Can you hear me okay?	5	foregoing deposition was taken at the time and place
6	A. Yes, sir, I can.	6	
7	Q. I represent Kroger. Have you reviewed	7	testimony the witness was first duly sworn by me; that said testimony was taken down by me in
8	any documents of Kroger as it relates to this case,	8	stenographic notes and thereafter reduced under my supervision to the foregoing typewritten pages and that said typewritten transcript is a true, accurate
9	this Streck case, or cosmetic talc products	9	that said typewritten transcript is a true, accurate and complete record of my stenographic notes so
	generally?	10	taken. I further certify that I am not
11	A. No.	11	related by blood or marriage to any of the parties hereto and that I have no interest in the outcome of
12	Q. And I didn't see Kroger mentioned in	12	captioned case.
	your notes and calculations page that we marked as		day of , , at
	Exhibit 5. Have you formed any opinions in this case		Louisville, Kentucky.
	specifically as to Kroger?	15	My commission as Notary Public expires
16	A. No, I don't have any opinions about	16	November 5, 2023.
	Kroger, you know, who knew what when, should they	17	
	have known, not known, hazard, dangers of asbestos.	18	
	It's immaterial to me where the product was bought.	19	
	My only opinion is about what's in the product. It	20	
	could have came from Kroger or any other grocery	21	ELLEN L. COULTER
	store.	22	NOTARY PUBLIC
			ivotal y 1.D. 034343
23	Q. And you haven't done any	23	
	quantification or calculated any cumulative dose for		
25	Mr. Streck with respect to either Johnson's Baby	25	